

Tablo

Tablo (edit|talk|history|links|watch|logs) Rohmann (talk · contribs · deleted contribs · logs (http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Special:Log&user=Rohmann) · edit filter log (http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Special:AbuseLog&wpSearchUser=Rohmann) · block user · block log (http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Special:Log&type=block&page=User:Rohmann))

User Rohmann is part of a campaign against this person by Korean netizens that has been reported in Korean media 1 (http://joongangdaily.joins.com/article/view.asp?aid=2921706) 2 (http://joongangdaily.joins.com/article/view.asp?aid=2921668) . He has repeatedly inserted non-notable and potentially libelous material into the article.-Ben Applegate (talk) 09:23, 12 June 2010 (UTC)

Eido Roshi's Biography page is being attacked

I need help moderating or locking the Eido Tai Shimano Criticism section.

Those who are augmenting the criticism are not signing their posts in a way that can be followed to a name. Right now those who are critical are attempting to suppress a footnote that supports the fact that no sexual complaint has been made since 1995.

Please lock this page until new verifiable information can be added.

I am a very concerned party as I am a Dharma Heir of Eido Roshi and sit on his board, and I can and do state factually that no sexual complaint has been received by the board since 1995.

Thank you,

Genjo Marinello —Preceding unsigned comment added by Zenquaker (talk • contribs) 17:57, 12 June 2010 (UTC)

Attacked?

The objection to the footnote does not concern the fact that no sexual complaint has been made since 1995. The objection is to a reference that states: "By the way, none of the four men I am referring to are members of AZTA, but please be aware that a man who was declined membership in AZTA, in part because Eido Roshi would not acknowledged him as a Dharma Teacher, started the current wave of vilification."

I am the person he refers to as having been "declined membership." The Membership Chairman informed me as follows: "Your application for membership in the AZTA was not "rejected without review," for you have not yet applied for membership in our organization."

Obviously if I have not applied for membership I could not possibly have been "declined" as stated. And there are far more people involved than "four men."

Remove the factually inaccurate material and there is no objection to the reference.

Kobutsu Malone —Preceding unsigned comment added by 70.16.102.214 (talk) 18:35, 12 June 2010 (UTC)

Requests for page protection are made at *Wikipedia:Requests for page protection*, not here. However, I see no basis for such a request at this time.

Here is a relevant passage from Wikipedia's policy on biographies of living persons:

Contentious material about living persons that is unsourced or poorly sourced—whether the material is negative, positive, neutral, or just questionable—should be removed immediately and without waiting for discussion.

Zenquaker, it appears that you are the author of the cited source you assert is being suppressed. As such, it is generally not considered appropriate for you to be adding it. Additionally, as an open letter apparently posted to a chat forum, it does not appear to meet the criteria for a reliable source (q.v.). As such, in my opinion it ought to be removed along with any claim it anchors. I agree that it doesn't speak to the claim that no complaint has been made since 1995, and that therefore it is not appropriate that it be attached as a footnote intended to substantiate that claim. Even if it did speak to this claim, as a work that doesn't meet the criteria for a reliable source, it would not be a suitable anchor for that claim in any event.

Descriptions of the controversy must stick especially closely to reports previously published in reliable sources, and must fairly represent "all majority and significant minority views" published in such sources. Any claim not directly supported by a published report in a reliable source should be removed.

Indeed, it appears that both of you have some personal connection to subject of this article. If this is the case, in keeping with Wikipedia's conflict of interest guideline, you should avoid editing the article, restricting any edits you do make the reversion of simple vandalism and violations of Wikipedia's policy biographies of living people.

Zenquaker, I note that you have also substantially edited the article Dai Bai Zan Cho Bo Zen Ji, including the section Dai Bai Zan Cho Bo Zen Ji#Genjo Marinello. I would therefore ask you to review Wikipedia:Conflict of interest with care, especially the sections *How to avoid COI edits* and *Editors who may have a conflict of interest* -- Rrburke (talk) 20:36, 12 June 2010 (UTC)

Retrieved from

"http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Biographies_of_living_persons/Noticeboard"

Categories: Wikipedia noticeboards | Wikipedia dispute resolution | Wikipedia BLP policy | Humanities awards

- This page was last modified on 12 June 2010 at 20:39.
- Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. See Terms of Use for details.
Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.
- Privacy policy
- About Wikipedia
- Disclaimers